



Where's the Movement? Comparative Assessment of EU and US Migration and Trade Policies towards Latin America

Cesla Amarelle (CDM) – Elisa Fornalé (WTI)

GFMD 2011 - M4MD Meeting – 13/15 September 2011 – Bern

www.ius-migration.ch

cesla.amarelle@unine.ch – elisa.fornale@wti.org





1. WHERE'S THE MOVEMENT?

Complex normative framework: which kind of obligation states prefer to comply with? Asymmetric process and non reciprocity

National framework will complement or oppose an harmonization process?

- National legislation often does not reflect or correspond to the laws of the regional trade agreements (RTAs). A major pending issue: the transposition of rules
- States continue to use unilateral measures and bilateral agreements





2. INTERNAL/EXTERNAL DIMENSION OF MIGRATION POLICIES

How can we make sure that domestic migratory policies are

aligned to international framework?

- Political will and public support
- Institutional reform and better conditions of governance
- Financial and material resources





3. MIGRATION IN LATIN AMERICA - PERSPECTIVE

Several factors related to the political, economic and social situation had their share of influence + democratisation

Geographical and Cultural Proximity
Intra and Extra regional Migration

Which instruments are in place to manage labour mobility? Objectives: highlight relevant provisions, stakeholders involved, protection issues implementation mechanisms.





4. MIGRATION AND TRADE POLICIES: HOW TO MAP DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO LABOR MOBILITY?

RTA AND
SELECTIVE
MOBILITY
US
NAFTA

BLAS US-CHILE RTA AND FREE LABOR MOBILITY EU MERCOSUR, CAN

> BLAS AND LABOR MOBILITY SPAIN-COLOMBIA





5. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT OF WORKERS – REGIONAL APPROACH

Positive impact of MERCOSUR:

Agreement on residence for nationals of MERCOSUR states- Bolivia and Chile, 6 December 2002

- Balance of the rights between migrants (permanent or temporary) and nationals
 - 1. Right to perform any activities
 - 2. Right to enjoy the same rights
 - 3. Employment rights

Multilateral Agreement regarding Social Security (2005)

- No discrimination against social services and benefits
- + National laws (Argentina 2004, Brazil and Chile 2009)





6. BILATERAL APPROACH

Two models between GATS and NAFTA
US Bilaterals (NAFTA model) ≠ EU Bilaterals (GATS model)

NAFTA, US-CHILE FTA

- Limited to temporary entry
- 4 high-skilled categories
- Visas required
- General immigration requirements apply

Clear time frame

≠ inclusion of "development-friendly" provisions





7. CONCLUSION

"Fair multilateralism". Need to build these agreements on common PREMISES:

- Conceptual differences between trade in services and bilateral labour agreements must be resolved
- Protection and Safeguarding of human rights of migrants and members of their families must be incorporated systematically in all agreements
- Prevention of Exploitation within the private sector (control)
- All aspects of domestic regulation (transparency, good governance, regulatory requirements) are crucial for the functioning of a « balanced » market economy
- Mutual recognition agreements