Author Queries

Journal: Proceedings of the Royal Society B

Manuscript: rspb20110289

- Q1 Please supply page number for the display quotation.
- Q2 Please suggest whether the word 'suit' can be replaced with 'suite'.
- Q3 Please supply volume number for ref. [71].
- **Q4** Reference Tekle *et al.* (2008) and Burki & Pawlowski (2009) have been cited in text not provided in the list. Please supply reference details or delete the reference citation from the text.



Review

The chastity of amoebae: re-evaluating evidence for sex in amoeboid organisms

Daniel J. G. Lahr^{1,*}, Laura Wegener Parfrey¹,

Edward A. D. Mitchell², Laura A. Katz^{1,3} and Enrique Lara²

¹Graduate Program in Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

²Laboratory of Soil Biology, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11,

2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

³Department of Biological Sciences, Smith College, Northampton, MA 01063, USA

Amoebae are generally assumed to be asexual. We argue that this view is a relict of early classification schemes that lumped all amoebae together inside the 'lower' protozoa separated from the 'higher' plants, animals and fungi. This artificial classification allowed microbial eukaryotes, including amoebae, to be dismissed as primitive, and implied that the biological rules and theories developed for macroorganisms need not apply to microbes. Eukaryotic diversity is made up of 70+ lineages, most of which are microbial. Plants, animals and fungi are nested among these microbial lineages. Thus, theories on the prevalence and maintenance of sex developed for macro-organisms should in fact apply to microbial eukaryotes, though the theories may need to be refined and generalized (e.g. to account for the variation in sexual strategies and prevalence of facultative sex in natural populations of many microbial eukaryotes). We use a revised phylogenetic framework to assess evidence for sex in several amoeboid lineages that are traditionally considered asexual, and we interpret this evidence in light of theories on the evolution of sex developed for macro-organisms. We emphasize that the limited data available for many lineages coupled with natural variation in microbial life cycles overestimate the extent of asexuality. Mapping sexuality onto the eukaryotic tree of life demonstrates that the majority of amoeboid lineages are, contrary to the popular belief, anciently sexual and that most asexual groups have probably arisen recently and independently. Additionally, several unusual genomic traits are prevalent in amoeboid lineages, including cyclic polyploidy, which may serve as alternative mechanisms to minimize the deleterious effects of asexuality.

Keywords: Amoebozoa; Rhizaria; asexual; evolution of sex; meiosis; karyogamy

[1]

Let us consider for a moment, a single Ameba ... not as a cause of disease, but as a unit mass of protoplasm which ... performs all of the fundamental vital activities common to living things ... there is no reason to doubt that [the chemical composition of these unit masses] agrees with that of other living substances, since the accompanying properties of protoplasm-metabolism, growth and reproduction-are obviously performed in the same way.

Q1

1. INTRODUCTION

Microbial eukaryotes were historically classified as primi-tive plants and animals [2] or separated into their own kingdom [3-5]. This view received wide support with Whittaker's five-kingdom classification system [4] and continues to be popular in many circles. One con-sequence of lumping microbial eukaryotes into an artificial taxonomic unit (variously called Protista, Proto-ctista or Protozoa) is the implicit view that microbes are

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rspb.2011.0289 or via http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org.

fundamentally different entities than plants, animals and fungi. As a result, microbial eukaryotes have been either dismissed as primitive or ignored in much of the theoretical work on eukaryotes, such as speciation theory [6] and theories on the evolution of sex [7], with the notable exception of Bell [8]. However, given the current classification of eukaryotes, this dismissal is no longer acceptable. In recent analyses, the eukaryotic tree of life is divided into a number of high-level lineages in which macro-organisms nest within predominantly microbial clades, demonstrating that the evolution of multicellularity has arisen multiple times [9-14]. Hence, there is no evidence to suggest that unicellularity represents by definition a 'primitive' condition in eukaryotes.

The realization that there is no fundamental distinc-tion between macro- and micro- eukaryotes calls for reassessment of the applicability of theories on the evol-ution of sex that were developed in macro-organisms to their microbial relatives. Differences between macro-organisms and microbial eukaryotes must be understood, as suggested by Calkins [1], in terms of cell character-istics, habit and life cycle rather than an artificial and outdated taxonomic split. Current evidence suggests that sex has a single evolutionary origin and was present

2.6

* Author for correspondence (daniel.lahr@gmail.com).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

2 D. J. G. Lahr et al. Review. The chastity of amoebae



Figure 1. Distribution of amoeboid lineages in the eukaryotic tree of life. This phylogenetic hypothesis of eukaryotic evolution
 is adapted from Parfrey *et al.* [13], and depicts the well-supported higher level groupings of eukaryotes. The lineages that have
 members with amoeboid morphology are in bold. Images depict exemplary amoeboid organisms and were retrieved from
 micro*scope (http://starcentral.mbl.edu/microscope/portal.php).

in the last common ancestor of eukaryotes [15]. Hence, sex is a synapomorphy for extant eukaryotes and, where sex is absent, it must have been secondarily lost. The patchy distribution of sexual and asexual amoeboid lineages in current phylogenetic reconstructions requires many independent losses of sex (figure 1), or may indicate that sex is present but not reported in many lineages. We argue here that the amoeboid lineages are ideal candidates to investigate whether asexuality has been lost many times, because amoebae have generally been assumed to be asexual and are widespread in the tree of eukaryotes.

The body of theory developed from macro-organismal observations holds that sexuality should be pervasive and that asexuality should be limited to recent twigs on the tree of eukaryotic life [16]. We define sex as the presence of a meiotic reduction of the genome complement followed eventually by karyogamy (nuclear fusion) in an organism's life cycle. In contrast to amphimixis [17], our definition allows autogamy to be considered sex. Sex is argued to be advantageous because it generates variability by allowing independent assortment of genetic material through recombination (the advantage of sex [18,19]). Conversely, asexual lineages are argued to be subject to the accumulation of deleterious mutations through a process described as Muller's ratchet [19,20], leading to the prediction that asexual lineages should be short-lived and hence ancient asexuals will be rare [7,20,21]. On the other hand, sex is not beneficial for the individual in the short term, because only half of its genetic material is transmitted to the next generation

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

(the cost of meiosis [7]). Recent efforts in modelling the 257 258 evolution of sex show that incorporating genetic drift is essential to understand the dynamics of populations 259 with finite size: when both drift and selection are taken 260 into account, sex and recombination bring together alleles 261 with higher selection coefficients that tend to be found in 262 different individuals, outcompeting asexual lineages [22]. 263 264 Thus, there are two main situations where asexuality is expected: (i) in relatively young lineages such as several 265 species of scale insects with obligate apomictic thelytoky 266 [23], and (ii) in systems with very large population 267 sizes, which rely on strategies for rapid reproduction 268 (cell/organism replication) [21]. 269

We posit that the purported advantages and disadvan-270 tages of sex observed in multicellular macro-organisms 271 should also apply to microbial eukaryotes. However, 272 some caveats must be taken into account when comparing 273 274 them. Firstly, life cycles are much more varied and com-275 plex in microbial eukaryotes [24]. For instance, in most 276 plants and animals, sex and growth are tightly linked, 277 i.e. they cannot complete development without sex [15]. 278 Conversely, many microbial eukaryotes are only facultatively sexual, i.e. they turn sex on or off depending on 279 environmental conditions. 280

Knowledge about the natural history of microbial 281 eukaryotes is deeply hindered by the difficulties of obser-282 vation, when compared with macro-organisms. In most 283 cases, organisms are assumed to be asexual because no 284 sex has been observed; the gold standard for establishing 285 sexuality remains direct observation of sexual phases of 286 the life cycle. Proving that sex occurs in microbial eukar-287 yotes is further hindered as there are often no sexually 288 289 dimorphic forms and sexual life-cycle stages may not 290 occur readily in laboratory conditions, or they may be cryptic [25]. Further, many amoebae are not culturable 291 (e.g. polycystine radiolaria [26]). Despite these difficul-292 ties, sex has been observed in several microbial and 293 294 non-microbial taxa long considered asexual when cultur-295 ing conditions were modified or appropriate mating types were made available, including Darwinullid ostracods 296 [27], arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi [28] and the filamen-297 tous mould Aspergillus [29], and Dictyostelium (see 298 below). Thus, it may not be prudent to rely on the 299 absence of evidence as evidence for the absence of sex 300 [21,25]. 301

Given the long history of study and diversity of 302 methods used, evidence for sex in amoeboid lineages 303 304 comes in a wide range of forms. We divide the continuum of evidence for sex into three categories: (i) confirmed 305 sexual life cycle, (ii) direct evidence for sex, and (iii) indir-306 ect evidence that suggests a sexual life cycle but is 307 inconclusive. A confirmed sexual life cycle is the irrefuta-308 309 ble combination of both meiosis and karyogamy (nuclear fusion). Direct evidence for sex is provided by micro-310 scopic observations of either meiosis or karyogamy 311 without confirmation of the other, or the presence of 312 meiosis-specific genes. We realize that for many biologists 313 documenting meiosis alone is enough to confirm sexu-314 315 ality. However, we feel that observation of both parts of the cycle is necessary given the variation in sexual mech-316 anisms found in microbial eukaryotes. We are defending a 317 more logical stance: if we define a phenomenon by the 318 319 union of two elements, then we must expect to see the 320 two elements for confirmation of the said phenomenon.

Proc. R. Soc. B (2011)

Conversely, the confirmation of karyogamy alone may indicate a parasexual system (one where subsequent haploidization occurs by some other means than meiosis [30]; also see the case of *Giardia* [31]) but more strongly indicates the possibility of sex. Finally, many characteristics provide indirect evidence for the hypothesis that an organism is sexual, but fall short of conclusively demonstrating sex. These include molecular evidence of recombination, cytoplasmic fusion, evidence for complex life cycles with more than one trophic stage and production of putative reproductive cells (e.g. swarmer cells that can be interpreted as gametes).

2. AMOEBOID LINEAGES

The broad distribution of amoeboid organisms across the eukaryotic tree of life makes them an ideal system for assessing the applicability of theories on sex to microbial lineages. Amoeboid organisms are defined by the ability to produce pseudopodia for locomotion or feeding. They were historically lumped into a single group, named Sarcodina or Rhizopoda depending on the classification system [32]. However, recent work demonstrates that amoebae are found in at least 30 distinct lineages (i.e. close to half of all described eukaryotic lineages) that are scattered throughout the tree of eukaryotes ([32,33], figure 1). The majority of these lineages are clustered in the Amoebozoa and Rhizaria [32], with the remaining lineages scattered across the tree (figure 1). The term 'amoeba' is used here descriptively as a morphological category and has no phylogenetic meaning. Here, we re-examine the sexuality of amoebae in the context of the current phylogenetic framework of eukaryotes. We review evidence for sex in lineages traditionally considered asexual, and discuss reports of sexual life cycles that were originally considered exceptions or misinterpretations.

(a) Amoebozoa

The Amoebozoa are a higher level grouping encompassing over 5000 species and are currently divided into approximately 14 lineages (figure 2a). These lineages include familiar amoebae, such as the star of high school biology classes Amoeba proteus and the human enteric parasite Entamoeba histolytica. The majority of organisms shown to belong within Amoebozoa have amoeboid characteristics [32], although these encompass a wide range of morphologies, such as slime moulds, lobose testate amoebae (Arcellinida) and amoeboflagellates. Asexuality in this group is thought to be a defining characteristic [35] or sexuality is assumed to be unknown [36]. However, deep inspection of the literature reveals evidence for sex in several Amoebozoa lineages: the dictyostelid sorocarpic slime moulds and myxogastrid plasmodial slime moulds, Thecamoebida, Arcellinida, Leptomyxida, the genera Entamoeba, Pelomyxa, Mastigamoeba, Trichosphaerium, the sorocarpic slime mould Copromyxa and a number of protosteloid amoebae (figure 2a). We will briefly review the evidence for each of these groups.

Confirmed sexual life cycles are described for two lineages: the dictyostelid sorocarpic slime moulds and the myxogastrid plasmodial slime moulds. The dictyostelids illustrate the difficulty of observing sex in the laboratory. Known for their asexual life cycles [37,38],

ARTICLE IN PRESS

4 D. J. G. Lahr et al. Review. The chastity of amoebae



Figure 2. Distribution and types of evidence for sex in the main lineages of the two largest amoeboid groups: (a) Amoebozoa and (b) Rhizaria. The topology of these illustrative trees are a consensus of well-supported lineages derived from Tekle *et al.* (2008), Burki & Pawlowski (2009) Shadwick *et al.* [34] and Parfrey *et al.* [13]. Dashed lines represent non-monophyletic **Q4** taxa. Black circles, confirmed sexual life cycle; grey circles, direct evidence for sex (meiosis, karyogamy or sex genes); white circles, indirect evidence for sex (cytoplasmic fusion, presence of putative gametes).

it was only in the 1970s that appropriate mating types of *Dictyostellium* were brought into culture and the sexual life
cycle was fully documented [39-41]. The Myxogastria go
through meiosis and fuse to form diploid plasmodia
[42,43]. Myxogastria have complex mating systems,
with up to 13 mating types (roughly equivalent to sexes)
described [44].

Three lineages within Amoebozoa have direct evidence 431 432 of sexual life cycles: the free-living thecamoebids, the sorocarpic slime mould Copromyxa and the testate 433 lobose amoebae (Arcellinida). The thecamoebid Sappinia 434 diploidea makes a bicellular cyst where zygote formation is 435 thought to occur [45-47]; similar cysts have been 436 437 reported in the related Sappinia pedata [48]. The slime mould Copromyxa has a life cycle that is consistent with 438 sex, although no secondary confirmation of meiosis has 439 been described [49]. Copromyxa was initially considered 440 an acrasid sporocarpic slime mould; however, acrasids 441 have been shown to belong to the Heterolobosea, which 442 fall within the Excavata [9], and Copromyxa is its own 443 lineage [50]. Molecular studies demonstrate that Copro-444 myxa is closely related to the Hartmanella within the 445 Tubulinea (figure 2a; [49]). Multiple lines of evidence 446 447 indicate that the Arcellinida, also members of the Tubuli-448 nea, are sexual: Arcella vulgaris shows microscopic

evidence of synaptonemal complexes [51], a typical structure that forms only during meiosis [52]. Molecular data from both Arcella hemispherica and A. vulgaris also demonstrate recombination in the actin gene [53]. Paraquadrulla and Heleopera go through nuclear division and subsequent fusion [54,55]. Finally, cell fusion (which we consider indirect evidence for sex, see below) has been reported for many genera of Arcellinida, though it is unclear whether karyogamy also occurs when cells fuse, or whether gamete formation occurs at other time points, (reviewed in [47]). The most complete report of karyogamy following cytoplasmic fusion is for Difflugia lobostoma [56], though Rhumbler [57] did not observe fusion during long-term culturing of this species. This apparent contradiction may indicate that these were different strains, a probable situation given the prevalence of cryptic species and other uncertainty in the taxonomy of Arcellinida [58,59]. Different life-cycle observations can also result from different culturing conditions.

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

Finally, three taxa have direct, but controversial evidence for sex: cell fusion reports in the free-living naked 508 amoebae Leptomyxida, a complement of meiotic gene 509 in the human pathogen *E. histolytica* and life cycles consistent with sex in *Trichosphaerium* and others. Cell 511 fusion is widely reported for Amoebozoa [60,61], 512

418

419

420

421

422

among the leptomyxids, *Leptomyxa reticulata* [60], *Flabellula baltica* [62] and multiple strains of flabellulids [63] are observed to fuse. Subsequently, the cells separate or persist as multinucleate stages. It is unclear whether this fusion facilitates genetic exchange or serves another purpose [36]; hence, we consider this as only supporting evidence for sex.

Entamoeba histolytica has long been considered asexual 520 despite numerous pieces of evidence pointing to the 521 contrary, such as appearance of putative heterozygote 522 populations after mixing of homozygotic populations for 523 certain isozyme classes [64,65]. The availability of the 524 whole genome [66] shows that E. histolytica has the full 525 complement of genes required for meiosis [67,68], 526 which should have decayed if E. histolytica abandoned a 527 sexual life cycle. The enigmatic genus of marine amoebae 528 Trichosphaerium is reported to have an alternation of gen-529 erations with gamont (sexual, including karyogamy) and 530 531 schizont (asexual) stages [69]. Since meiosis has not 532 been properly documented [70,71], we consider there is 533 only direct evidence for sex in Trichosphaerium. Complex life cycles with multiple types of trophic cells that are con-534 sistent with sex have been described from a number of 535 lineages, which are: the polyphyletic protosteloid 536 amoebae Clastostelium recurvatum, Protosporangium spp., 537 Cavostelium apophysatum, Ceratiomyxa fruticulosa and 538 Ceratiomyxella tahitiensis [34] and the archamoebid 539 Pelomyxa palustris [72]. 540

(b) *Rhizaria*

541

542

543

544 The Rhizaria are a heterogeneous assemblage encom-545 passing lineages such as Foraminifera, radiolarians and 546 euglyphid testate amoebae, chlorarachniophytes, parasitic groups (Phytomyxea, Haplosporidia) as well as a multitude 547 of other lesser known flagellates (figure 2b) that emerge as 548 having fundamental ecological roles [13,73-75]. Filamen-549 550 tous pseudopodia are a recurrent morphological feature 551 among amoeboid members of Rhizaria, in contrast to the lobose or broad pseudopodia of many Amoebozoa. Com-552 plete sexual life cycles are documented for two lineages: 553 Foraminifera and Gromia; karyogamy or meiosis (direct 554 555 evidence) has been observed in five lineages: Euglyphida, Thecofilosea, Chlorarachniophyta, Plasmodiophorida and 556 Phaeodarea; and indirect evidence such as cell fusion or 557 formation of putative gametes in five lineages: Acantharea, 558 Polycystinea, Cercomonas, Helkesimastix and Lateromyxa. 559

560 There are at least two lineages in the Rhizaria with 561 confirmed sexual life cycles. Foraminifera are marine amoebae defined by a dynamic network of anastomosing 562 pseudopodia [76], and well known for producing intricate 563 shells. They exhibit complex sexual life cycles, with meio-564 565 sis and gamete production occurring at separate stages [77]. The Gromiidae also have confirmed sexual life 566 cycles [78]. These large protists (up to several centi-567 metres) have been observed in shallow and deep-sea 568 sediments [79], where they are capable of denitrification 569 in anoxic environments [80]. Gromia was originally 570 classified as a genus of Foraminifera based on gross 571 morphology, but lacks the distinctive anastomosing 572 pseudopods of Foraminifera and branches separately in 573 molecular phylogenies [75]. The life cycle of Gromia 574 575 resembles that of Foraminifera, with meiosis and gamete 576 fusion occurring at different stages.

Proc. R. Soc. B (2011)

Review. The chastity of amoebae D. J. G. Lahr et al. 5

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

The Euglyphid testate amoebae and the Thecofilosa have many reports of cytoplasmic fusion, which we consider indirect evidence, and also reports of karvogamy, a form of direct evidence. Euglyphid testate amoebae have primarily been studied from a faunistic perspective, as bioindicators of past and present environmental conditions [81,82], and recently from a molecular phylogenetic perspective [83–85]. In the family Euglyphidae, Euglypha alveolata [86], Euglypha scutigera [87] and Euglypha sp. [88] combine their cellular contents to form a cyst, or in one case a third larger shell (E. alveolata [89]). Similar processes have been observed in other closely related families: Assulinidae [88], Trinematidae [87,90] and Cyphoderiidae [57,90], and in the unclassified Tracheleuglypha dentata [91]. The formation of a third, larger cell has been reported only in Assulinidae and Euglyphidae [92,93], and not in Trinematidae and Cyphoderiidae, where cell fusion occurs within one of the copulating cells.

In some Euglyphids, cytoplasmic fusion is followed by karyogamy, providing direct evidence for sex. In Trinema lineare, Valkanovia delicatula [94], Assulina muscorum and Valkanovia elegans [93], karyogamy was documented but the ultimate fate of the synkaryon (fused nuclei) remains unknown. In Corythion delamarei (family Trinematidae), the synkaryon divides into four nuclei, interpreted as the result of meiosis [95]. The cytoplasm is then distributed around the four nuclei, and four naked daughter cells leave the mother shell, which is left empty. These naked cells eventually secrete a test. If the interpretation is correct and C. delamarei indeed goes through meiosis after cytoplasmic and karyogamy, these organisms spend most of their life cycle in a haploid stage, being diploid only when karyogamy occurs. In contrast, T. lineare (Trinematidae) performs 'conventional' binary divisions in addition to a sexual life cycle similar to C. delamarei [96]. Binary divisions were not observed in C. delamarei, or its sister species Corythion dubium [95]. This suggests that Corythion is a genus of obligate sexual organisms. In sum, there is direct evidence for sex in four families out of the five that compose Euglyphida.

The other lineage of filose testate amoebae, Thecofilosea (*sensu* [97]), presents direct evidence for sex. Recent phylogenetic analyses show they are not sister to the Euglyphida [13,75]. These amoebae may have proteinaceous or agglutinated tests and are often overlooked in environmental samples owing to their small size. Cytoplasmic fusion followed by karyogamy has been observed in both *Pseudodifflugia gracilis* and *P. fascicularis*. The fate of the synkaryon is unknown [94].

Chlorarachniophytes, a group known for their ancient 627 secondary endosymbiosis [98], go through an elaborate 628 alternation of flagellate and amoeboid life stages and 629 show indirect evidence for sex. In Chlorarachnion reptans, 630 flagellate cells fuse with coccoid cells; these are 631 interpreted as 'male' and 'female' gametes [99]. In 632 Cryptochlora perforans, two morphologically identical 633 amoeboid cells fuse and produce a cyst where meiosis is 634 thought to occur in a manner similar to euglyphids. 635 The DNA content of the cyst is double that of the amoe-636 boid stages, suggesting karyogamy [100]. As meiosis has 637 not been confirmed, we consider this direct evidence as 638 opposed to confirmed sexual life cycle. The Plasmo-639 diophorida are obligate intracellular parasites of plants, 640

6 D. J. G. Lahr et al. Review. The chastity of amoebae

characterized by a specific type of mitotic division named cruciform nuclear division [101]. They have a complex life cycle with a plasmodial amoeboid phase, and meiosis has been confirmed in the group. However, karyogamy has not yet been observed [101].

641

642

643

644

645

682

683

The organisms collectively designated 'Radiolaria', a 646 non-monophyletic assemblage containing Phaeodarea, 647 648 Acantharea and Polycystinea, are large pelagic cells ubiquitous in the oceans. These organisms are extremely difficult 649 to maintain in laboratory conditions, and their full life 650 cycle has never been documented, but observations 651 reveal evidence that suggests sex. All three groups of radi-652 olarians generally produce small bi-flagellated cells, whose 653 fate remains unclear [26,102], but may be gametes that are 654 released into the water column. 655

The strongest evidence for sex within the 'Radiolaria' 656 is found in Phaeodarea, specifically in the well-studied 657 658 species Aulacantha scolymantha, which falls in the 659 Cercozoa [75]. Synaptonemal complexes have been 660 documented between the numerous (1000+) composite 661 chromosomes. Each of these composite chromosomes subsequently segregates into developing bi-flagellated 662 swarmer cells [103] and divides into eight chromosomes. 663 However, complete evidence for sex is still lacking for this 664 group, as cellular fusion and karyogamy have not been 665 documented. Production of small bi-flagellated swarmer 666 cells has also been observed in Polycystinea and 667 Acantharea, which are closely related to Foraminifera 668 [13]. These have been interpreted as 'isogametes' in the 669 case of Acantharea [104], but cell fusion has not been 670 observed for either lineage [105]. 671

Evidence for sex becomes scarcer as organisms get 672 673 smaller and more difficult to observe. For the small amoe-674 boflagellate forms, there are reports of cell fusions with 675 subsequent encystment: Helkesimastix faecicola [106] and 676 Cercomonas longicauda [107]. In Cercomonas, cells can aggregate and fuse in some species, thus forming plas-677 modia containing up to 100 nuclei [108,109]. Such 678 679 plasmodia have also been documented in the vampyrellid Lateromyxa gallica [110,111], though the fate of these 680 nuclei is unknown. 681

(c) Other amoeboid lineages: Heliozoa, Heterolobosea, Stramenopila and Opisthokonta

There are other amoeboid lineages scattered in the tree of 686 eukaryotes, most with limited information on sex. The 687 688 'Heliozoa' have been split into four morphological lineages [33], three of which have been confirmed in 689 molecular reconstructions [112]. One lineage, the 690 Actinophryida nested within the Stramenopila, is 691 reported to go through autogamy in the cyst [113]. The 692 693 life cycles of all three remaining 'heliozoan' lineages, the Desmothoracida, Centrohelida and Gymnosphaerida 694 remain poorly documented. 695

The Heterolobosea are a lineage of amoeboflagellates 696 nested within the Excavata [114]. Heteramoeba clara is 697 reported to have a sexual life cycle consisting of a two 698 699 mating-type system [115], although there is a certain amount of doubt to these experiments. The genome of 700 Naegleria gruberi was recently sequenced, and reveals 701 the presence of meiosis specific genes, supporting the 702 presence of sex in this clade [116]. The acrasid cellular 703 slime moulds have been shown to fall within the 704

Proc. R. Soc. B (2011)

rspb20110289-10/3/11-16:10-Copy Edited by: Chitra S

Heterolobosea rather than with other sorocarpic slime moulds in Amoebozoa [9]. Complete life cycles have been documented for acrasids, but these contain no evidence for meiosis or karyogamy. Hence, we consider there is no evidence pointing to sex in this group.

The Labyrinthulidae and Thraustochytriidae are amoeboid organisms currently placed within the Stramenopila (or Heterokonta), which also includes the diatoms, brown algae and water moulds, in which sex is well established. A complete sexual cycle is described for both of these amoeboid lineages, with well-documented meiosis [117,118].

A number of orphan amoeboid lineages have recently been placed amidst the Opisthokonta (which also includes the Fungi and Metazoa). *Amoebidium parasiticum*, originally thought to be a fungus, has a multi-stage life cycle, but no sex has been reported [119]. Similarly, the nucleariid amoebae and *Fonticula alba* have shown no evidence of sex [49]. However, only a limited number of studies have focused on these taxa.

3. CONCLUSION

Evolutionary theory predicts that long-lived lineages should be sexual [7], and that asexual lineages derived from sexual ancestors will be short-lived owing to the negative effects of Muller's ratchet on the genome [120,121]. The two major clades that are dominated by amoebae, the Rhizaria and Amoebozoa (figure 2), are certainly very ancient. Fossil Arcellinida, a clade of testate amoebae within the Amoebozoa, has been found in 750 Myr old rocks [122]; Foraminifera and Polycystinea, two clades within Rhizaria, have fossil records that extend back at least to the Cambrian, i.e. 488-542 Ma ago [26,123]. Sex is a complex character and it is unlikely to have evolved independently in multiple lineages, or lost and regained multiple times [25]. Thus, the presence of sexual lineages scattered across Amoebozoa and Rhizaria suggests that these clades were ancestrally sexual. As in other branches of the eukaryotic tree sex may then have been lost independently in derived lineages.

Some amoeboid lineages may be genuinely asexual. One candidate for asexuality is *A. proteus*, which is the textbook example of binary fission in eukaryotes. A multitude of research groups have been culturing *A. proteus* and its relatives for more than a century without uncovering evidence supporting the existence of sex in this group. Yet, assuming asexuality may be precarious given the uncertainties regarding culturing conditions. Although the ultimate proof for sex, as defined here, is the observation of meiosis and subsequent karyogamy, genomic data from populations of *A. proteus* could reveal evidence of recombination. Such data are yet lacking for these and the majority of amoeboid protists.

The logical equation 'lack of evidence = asexual' is precarious, but the opposite stance is perhaps equally dangerous. Assuming that all lineages in Amoebozoa are sexual may mean discarding the possibility that alternative means to deal with Muller's ratchet have arisen independently. Microbial eukaryote lineages may well have different strategies, such as lateral gene transfer (LGT) and cyclic polyploidy. Bdelloid rotifers, a clade of asexual microscopic animals, provide the most famous example of an alternative mechanism to avoid

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

Review. The chastity of amoebae D. J. G. Lahr et al. 7

the ratchet: during rehydration following anhydrobiosis 769 770 (a suspended animation state that allows the organism to survive dehydration), these organisms acquire foreign 771 DNA and reorganize genomic regions [124]. This may 772 well be a remarkable example of an evolutionary approach 773 to reap the benefits of recombination, and could represent 774 one of many strategies that eukaryotes have explored to 775 avoid the deleterious effects of Muller's ratchet. If such 776 an unusual mechanism appeared in Metazoa, comparably 777 non-canonical mechanisms may have probably evolved 778 among 30+ amoeboid lineages. 779

Cyclic polyploidy may be another evasion method for 780 avoiding the impact of Muller's ratchet. Ploidy cycles 781 may reduce the mutational load usually associated with 782 high ploidy, and maintain the selective advantages of 783 haploid genetic transmission [17]. Many microbial 784 eukaryotes (amoeboid and others) experiment with 785 786 ploidy changes that go far beyond the metazoan n-2n787 (haploid-diploid) fluctuation [24]. For instance, A. proteus 788 shows up to 3n variation during interphase, suggesting a 789 cycle of polyploidization and return to haploidy before 790 mitosis; and E. histolytica shows heterogeneity in nuclear ploidy owing to varying levels of endomitosis: within a 791 population, individual trophozoites exhibit continuous 792 variation from 4n to 40n [125]. The consequences of 793 these phenomena are still poorly understood, as impli-794 cations about the dynamics of eukaryotic genomes are 795 796 only beginning to be explored [24].

An open question is whether LGT through endo-797 symbiotic organisms may supply genetic variability to 798 populations of amoebae. Diverse amoebae (e.g. Acantha-799 800 moeba spp., Hartmannella spp., Arcella spp., Amoeba spp.) 801 harbour a wide variety of bacterial endosymbionts and 802 viruses during their life cycle [126–129]. The possibility of genetic recombination between the amoeba and their 803 multiple cytoplasmic inhabitants has just begun to be 804 studied, as is the case of the giant amoeba-infecting 805 Marseillevirus and Mimivirus that show evidence of 806 807 chimeric genomes, with fragments of DNA acquired from multiple sources [130,131]. 808

Well-resolved phylogenetic trees provide a framework to 809 investigate possible sexuality and identify truly asexual 810 lineages. Amoeba proteus is a member of the Amoebidae 811 clade, for which no evidence for sex has been uncovered. 812 The closely related Arcellinida are most probably sexual. 813 Hence, the Amoebidae make an ideal group for deeply 814 searching for signs of sex/asexuality. Documentation of the 815 816 complete life cycle is difficult, but suitable alternative 817 methods to identify the presence of sex include intense culturing and/or surveying of natural populations to document 818 recombination (as predicted by meiosis) and genetic studies 819 to identify a set of meiosis genes. In this case, there are three 820 possible outcomes: (i) the Amoebidae are indeed sexual and 821 we failed to document sex so far, (ii) the Arcellinida-Amoe-822 bidae ancestral was sexual and the Amoebidae became truly 823 asexual independently, or (iii) the Amoebidae use a distinct 824 strategy for evading Muller's ratchet, which might involve 825 extensive LGT and/or ploidy cycles. 826

We conclude that the generalization of widespread asexuality in amoeboid organisms is superficial and a product of two main forces: (i) an intrinsic practical difficulty in studying microbial organisms, and (ii) the long held belief that amoeboid organisms are a single unit of evolution, as opposed to a morphological strategy that was

rspb20110289-10/3/11-16:10-Copy Edited by: Chitra S

adopted by a wide variety of independent lineages. Amoe-833 bae are not fundamentally chaste. The timing and flow of 834 events that lead each independent lineage to adopt an 835 asexual or sexual life cycle must be evaluated separately. 836 A multiple evidence approach, using a phylogenetic fra-837 mework, gathering evidence on life cycles, genetic 838 information on recombination and/or suits of meiotic Q2 839 genes will be more efficient in reconstructing the history 840 of eukaryotic sexual life cycles. In line with Calkin's 841 reasoning almost a century ago about the chemical consti-842 tution of amoebae [1], there is no reason to doubt that the 843 rules of evolution governing sex in amoeboid organisms 844 agree with that of other living beings. We predict that 845 thorough and careful study of amoeboid organisms will 846 reveal even more unusual ways of performing sex or other-847 wise exchanging genetic information. When discussing 848 the sex of amoeboid protists, the existing evidence does 849 not evoke chastity but rather Kama Sutra. 850

We are thankful for the many discussions and suggestions made by O. Roger Anderson, Yonas I. Tekle, Ben Normark, Michael Hood, Laura Ross and Matthew Brown, which greatly improved the manuscript, and Anna Kosakyan for the translation of Russian literature. We are indebted to Micah S. Dunthorn for providing the inspiration to pursue this question, and the thorough suggestions of two anonymous reviewers. D.J.G.L. was funded by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil) fellowship GDE no. 200853/2007-4; L.A.K. by US National Science Foundation Assembling the Tree of Life grant 043115; E.A.D.M. by SNFR (Swiss National Fund for Research) projects nos. 205321-109709/1 and 205321-109709/2; and E.L. by SNFR Ambizione fellowship (PZ00P2-122042).

REFERENCES

- 1 Calkins, G. N. 1916 General biology of the protozoan life cycle. *Am. Nat.* **50**, 257–270. (doi:10.1086/279539)
- 2 Haeckel, E. H. P. A. 1866 Generelle morphologie der organismen. Allgemeine grundzüge der organischen formenwissenschaft, mechanisch begründet durch die von charles darwin reformirte descendenztheorie. Berlin, Germany: G. Reimer.
- 3 Margulis, L. & Schwartz, K. 1988 Five Kingdoms: an illustrated guide to the phyla of life on earth, 2nd edn. New York, NY, W. H.: Freeman and Company.
- 4 Whittaker, R. H. 1969 New concepts of Kingdoms of organisms. *Science* **163**, 150–160. (doi:10.1126/ science.163.3863.150)
- 5 Corliss, J. O. 1984 The Kingdom Protista and its 45 phyla. *Biosystems* 17, 87–126. (doi:10.1016/0303-2647(84)90003-0)
- 6 Mayr, E. 1964 Systematics and the origin of species: from the viewpoint of a zoologist (with a new introduction by the author). New York, NY: Dover Publications, Inc.
- 7 Maynard Smith, J. 1978 *The evolution of sex.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 8 Bell, G. 1988 Sex and death in protozoa: the history of an obsession. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 9 Adl, S. M. *et al.* 2005 The new higher level classification of eukaryotes with emphasis on the taxonomy of protists. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 52, 399-451. (doi:10. 1111/j.1550-7408.2005.00053.x)
- 10 Baldauf, S. L. 2003 The deep roots of eukaryotes. *Science* **300**, 1703–1706. (doi:10.1126/science.1085544)
- 11 Cavalier-Smith, T. 1998 A revised six-kingdom system of life. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **73**, 203–266. (doi:10.1017/S0006323198005167)

893

894

895

896

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

8 D. J. G. Lahr et al. Review. The chastity of amoebae

12 Keeling, P. J., Burger, G., Durnford, D. G., Lang, B. F., Lee, R. W., Pearlman, R. E., Roger, A. J. & Gray, M. W. 2005 The tree of eukaryotes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 670–676. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.09.005)

897

898

899

900

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

959

960

- Parfrey, L. W., Grant, J., Tekle, Y. I., Lasek-Nesselquist, E., Morrison, H. G., Sogin, M. L., Patterson, D. J. & Katz, L. A. 2010 Broadly sampled multigene analyses yield a well-resolved eukaryotic tree of life. *Syst. Biol.* 59, 518–533. (doi:10.1093/sysbio/syq037)
- 905
 14 Bonner, J. T. 1998 The origins of multicellularity. *Integr.* 906
 907 Biol. Issues News Rev. 1, 27–36. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)
 907 1520-6602(1998)1:1<27::AID-INBI4>3.0.CO;2-6)
- 908
 15 Dacks, J. & Roger, A. J. 1999 The first sexual lineage

 909
 and the relevance of facultative sex. J. Mol. Evol. 48,

 910
 779–783. (doi:10.1007/PL00013156)
- 911
 912
 913
 914
 16 Schwander, T. & Crespi, B. J. 2009 Twigs on the tree of life? Neutral and selective models for integrating macroevolutionary patterns and microevolutionary processes in the analysis of asexuality. *Mol. Ecol.* 18, 28–42. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03992.x)
- ⁹¹⁵ 17 Kondrashov, A. S. 1997 Evolutionary genetics of life cycles. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. [Review] 28, 391-435.
- Weismann, A. 1889 Essays on heredity and kindred biological subjects. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- 919
 19 Muller, H. J. 1932 Some genetic aspects of sex. Am.

 920
 Nat. 66, 118–138. (doi:10.1086/280418)
 - 20 Muller, H. J. 1964 The relation of recombination to mutational advance. *Mutat. Res. Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen.* 1, 2–9. (doi:10.1016/0027-5107(64)90047-8)
 - 21 Judson, O. P. & Normark, B. B. 1996 Ancient asexual scandals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **11**, A41–A46. (doi:10. 1016/0169-5347(96)81040-8)
 - 22 Otto, S. P. 2009 The evolutionary enigma of sex. Am. Nat. 174(Suppl. 1), S1–S14. (doi:10.1086/599084)
- Ross, L., Pen, I. & Shuker, D. M. 2010 Genomic conflict in scale insects: the causes and consequences of bizarre genetic systems. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 85, 807–828. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-185x.2010.00127.x)
 - 24 Parfrey, L. W., Lahr, D. J. G. & Katz, L. A. 2008 The dynamic nature of eukaryotic genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25, 787–794. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msn032)
 - 25 Dunthorn, M. & Katz, L. A. 2010 Secretive ciliates and putative asexuality in microbial eukaryotes. *Trends Microbiol.* 18, 183–188. (doi:10.1016/j.tim.2010.02.005)
 - 26 Anderson, O. R. 1981 *Radiolaria*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag.
 - 27 Smith, R. J., Kamiya, T. & Horne, D. J. 2006 Living males of the 'ancient asexual' Darwinulidae (Ostracoda: Crustacea). *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 273, 1569–1578. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3452)
- 28 Croll, D. & Sanders, I. R. 2009 Recombination in *Glomus intraradices*, a supposed ancient asexual arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **9**, 13.
- 945
 946
 946
 947
 948
 948
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 940
 940
 940
 941
 941
 941
 942
 943
 944
 944
 944
 945
 945
 945
 945
 945
 946
 947
 948
 947
 948
 948
 948
 947
 948
 947
 948
 948
 947
 948
 948
 947
 948
 948
 947
 948
 948
 947
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 949
 948
 949
 949
 949
 949
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 941
 941
 941
 941
 942
 942
 942
 943
 944
 944
 944
 945
 945
 945
 945
 946
 946
 947
 947
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 948
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 949
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
 940
- 949
 30 Pontecorvo, G. 1956 The parasexual cycle in Fungi.

 950
 Annu. Rev. Microbiol.
 10, 393-400.
 (doi:10.1146/ annurev.mi.10.100156.002141)
- 952
 31 Birky, C. W. 2010 Giardia sex? Yes, but how and how much? Trends Parasitol. 26, 70-74. (doi:10.1016/j.pt. 2009.11.007)
- 32 Pawlowski, J. & Burki, F. 2009 Untangling the phylogeny of amoeboid protists. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 56, 16–25.
- 957
 958
 958
 954
 954
 955
 956
 956
 956
 956
 957
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 958
 - 34 Shadwick, L. L., Spiegel, F. W., Shadwick, J. D. L., Brown, M. W. & Silberman, J. D. 2009 Eumycetozoa =

Amoebozoa?: SSU-rDNA phylogeny of protosteloid slime molds and its significance for the amoebozoan supergroup. *PLoS ONE* 4, e6754.

- 35 Hurst, L. D., Hamilton, W. D. & Ladle, R. J. 1992 Covert sex. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 7, 144–145.
- 36 Cavalier-Smith, T. 2002 The phagotrophic origin of eukaryotes and phylogenetic classification of Protozoa. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 297–354.
- 37 Bonner, J. T. 1944 A descriptive study of the development of the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Am. J. Bot.* 31, 175–182.
- 38 Bonner, J. T. 1947 Evidence for the formation of cell aggregates by chemotaxis in the development of the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J. Exp. Zool. 106, 1–26.
- 39 Erdos, G. W., Raper, K. B. & Vogen, L. K. 1973 Mating types and macrocyst formation in *Dictyostelium discoideum. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 70, 1828–1830.
- 40 Erdos, G. W., Raper, K. B. & Vogen, L. K. 1975 Sexuality in cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium giganteum*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 72, 970–973.
- 41 Macinnes, M. A. & Francis, D. 1974 Meiosis in *Dictyos-telium mucoroides*. *Nature* 251, 321–324. (doi:10.1038/251321a0)
- 42 Fiore-Donno, A. M., Berney, C., Pawlowski, J. & Baldauf, S. A. 2005 Higher-order phylogeny of plasmodial slime molds (Myxogastria) based on elongation factor 1-a and small subunit rRNA gene sequences. *J. Euk. Microbiol.* 52, 201–210.
- 43 Martin, G. W. & Alexopoulos, C. J. 1969 *The myxomycetes.* Iowa City, IA: University of Iowa Press.
- 44 Collins, O. R. & Tang, H. C. 1977 New mating types in *Physarum polycephalum. Mycologia* **69**, 421–423.
- 45 Michel, R., Wylezich, C., Hauroder, B. & Smirnov, A. 2006 Phylogenetic position and notes on the ultrastructure of *Sappinia diploidea* (thecamoebidae). *Protistology* 4, 319–325.
- 46 Goodfellow, L. P., Belcher, J. H. & Page, F. C. 1974 A light- and electron-microscopical study of *Sappinia diploidea*, a sexual amoeba. *Protistologica* 2, 207–216.
- 47 Wenrich, D. H. 1954 Sex in Protozoa: A comparative review. In Sex in microorganisms. A symposium (ed. D. H. Wenrich), p. 362. Washington, DC: AAAS.
- 48 Brown, M. W., Spiegel, F. W. & Silberman, J. D. 2007 Amoeba at attention: phylogenetic affinity of Sappinia pedata. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 54, 511–519.
- 49 Brown, M. W., Spiegel, F. W. & Silberman, J. D. 2009 Phylogeny of the 'forgotten' cellular slime mold, *Fonti*cula alba, reveals a key evolutionary branch within Opisthokonta. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26, 2699–2709.
- 50 Spiegel, F. W. & Olive, L. S. 1978 New evidence for validity of *Copromyxa protea*. *Mycologia* **70**, 843–847.
- 51 Mignot, J.-P. & Raikov, I. B. 1992 Evidence for meiosis in the testate amoeba Arcella. J. Eurkaryot. Microbiol. 39, 287–289.
- 52 Moses, M. J. 1969 Structure and function of the synaptonemal complex. *Genetics* **61**(Suppl. 1), 41–51.
- 53 Lahr, D. J., Nguyen, T. B., Barbero, E. & Katz, L. A. 2011 Evolution of the actin gene family in testate lobose amoebae (Arcellinida) is characterized by 2 distinct clades of paralogs and recent independent expansions. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 223–236. (doi:10. 1093/molbev/msq200)
- 54 Lüftenegger, G. & Foissner, W. 1991 Morphology and biometry of twelve soil testate amoebae (Protozoa, Rhizopoda) from Australia, Africa, and Austria. *Bull. Br. Mus. Nat. Hist. (Zoology)* 57, 1–16.
- 55 Meisterfeld, R. 2002 Order Arcellinida kent, 1880. In *The illustrated guide to the Protozoa* (eds J. J. Lee,

Proc. R. Soc. B (2011)

Review. The chastity of amoebae D. J. G. Lahr et al. 9

- G. F. Leedale & P. Bradbury), pp. 827–860, 2nd edn. Lawrence, KS: Allen Press.
- 56 Dangeard, L. 1937 Memoirs sur le difflugia globulosa dujardin. *Le Botaniste* 28, 229–274.

1025

1026

1027

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1074

1075

1083

1084

- 1028 utijardin. *Le Bolaniste* 28, 229–214.
 1029
 1030 verschmelzungen bei den rhizopoden und deren wahrscheinliche beziehungen zu phylogenetischen vorstufen der metazoenbefruchtung. 2. *Biologisches Centralblatt* 18, 33–38.
- 1033 58 Heger, T. J., Mitchell, E. A. D., Ledeganck, P., Vincke,
 1034 S., Van de Vijver, B. & Beyens, L. 2009 The curse of
 1035 taxonomic uncertainty in biogeographical studies of
 1036 free-living terrestrial protists: a case study of testate
 1037 amoebae from Amsterdam island. *J. Biogeogr.* 36,
 1038 1551-1560.
- 1039
 1040
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041</l
- 1042
 1043
 1043
 1044
 1044
 1044
 1045
 1045
 1045
 1046
 1047
 1047
 1048
 1049
 1049
 1049
 1049
 1040
 1040
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041
 1041</l
 - Seravin, L. N. & Goodkov, A. V. 1984 The main types and forms of agamic cell fusion in Protozoa. *Tsitologiya* 26, 123–131.
 - 62 Smirnov, A. V. & Goodkov, A. V. 1999 An illustrated list of basic morphotypes of Gymnamoebia (Rhizopoda, Lobosea). *Protistology* 1, 20–29.
- 1051
 1052
 1052
 1053
 1053
 1054
 1054
 1055
 1055
 1056
 1057
 1057
 1058
 1059
 1059
 1059
 1059
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1051
 1051
 1051
 1051
 1052
 1051
 1051
 1052
 1052
 1053
 1053
 1054
 1054
 1055
 1054
 1055
 1055
 1054
 1055
 1055
 1054
 1055
 1055
 1055
 1056
 1057
 1057
 1058
 1059
 1058
 1059
 1059
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050
 1050</l
- 1056 64 Sargeaunt, P. G., Jackson, T. F., Wiffen, S. R. &
 1057 Bhojnani, R. 1988 Biological evidence of genetic
 1058 exchange in *Entamoeba histolytica. Trans. R. Soc. Trop.*1059 Med. Hyg. 82, 862–867.
 - 65 Blanc, D., Nicholls, R. & Sargeaunt, P. G. 1989 Experimental production of new zymodemes of *Entamoeba histolytica* supports the hypothesis of genetic exchange. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 83, 787–790.
 - 66 Loftus, B. *et al.* 2005 The genome of the protist parasite *Entamoeba histolytica. Nature* **433**, 865–868.
 - 67 Ramesh, M. A., Malik, S.-B. & Longsdon, J. M. 2005 A phylogenomic inventory of meiotic genes: evidence for sex in *Giardia* and an early eukaryotic origin of meiosis. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 185–191.
 - 68 Stanley, J. S. L. 2005 The *Entamoeba histolytica* genome: something old, something new, something borrowed and sex too? *Trends Parasitol.* **21**, 451.
- and sex too. Trends Tarastat. 21, 451.
 69 Angell, R. W. 1976 Observations on *Trichosphaerium platyxyrum* sp. n. *J. Protozool.* 23, 357–364.
 70 Schuster F. L. 1976 Fine structure of the schizont store
 - 70 Schuster, F. L. 1976 Fine structure of the schizont stage of the testate marine amoeba, *Trichosphaerium* sp. *J. Euk. Microbiol.* 23, 86–93.
- 1076 71 Schaudinn, F. R. 1899 Untersuchungen uber den generationswechsel von *Trichosphaerium sieboldi. Sch. Abh.*1078 Q3 Konigl. Preuss. Akd. Wiss. (Berlin Suppl.), 1–93.
- 1079
 72 Whatley, J. & Chapman-Andresen, C. 1990 Phylum Karyoblastea. In *Handbook of Protoctista* (eds L. Margulis, J. O. Corliss, M. Melkonian & D. Chapman), pp. 167– 185. Boston, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
 - 73 Ekelund, F. & Patterson, D. J. 1997 Some heterotrophic flagellates from a cultivated soil in Australia. *Arch. Protistenk.* **148**, 461–478.
- 1085 74 Foissner, W. 1991 Diversity and ecology of soil flagel1086 lates. In *The biology of free-living heterotrophic flagellates*1087 (eds D. J. Patterson & J. Larsen), pp. 93–112. Oxford,
 1088 UK: Clarendon Press.

75 Bass, D., Chao, E. E. Y., Nikolaev, S., Yabuki, A., Ishida, K. I., Berney, C., Pakzad, U., Wylezich, C. & Cavalier-Smith, T. 2009 Phylogeny of novel naked filose and reticulose Cercozoa: Granofilosea cl. n. and Proteomyxidea revised. *Protist* 160, 75–109. 1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

- 76 Bowser, S. S. & Travis, J. L. 2002 Reticulopodia: structural and behavioral basis for the suprageneric placement of granuloreticulosan protists. *J. Foraminiferal Res.* 32, 440–447.
- 77 Goldstein, S. T. 1999 Foraminifera: a biological overview. In *Modern foraminifera* (ed. B. K. Sen Gupta), pp. 37–56. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- 78 Arnold, Z. M. 1972 Observations on the biology of the protozoan *Gromia oviformis* Dujardin. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.
- 79 Matz, M. V., Frank, T. M., Marshall, N. J., Widder, E. A. & Johnsen, S. 2008 Giant deep-sea protist produces bilaterian-like traces. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1849–1854. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.028)
- 80 Pina-Ochoa, E. *et al.* 2010 Widespread occurrence of nitrate storage and denitrification among Foraminifera and Gromiida. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 1148– 1153. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0908440107)
- 81 Tolonen, K., Warner, B. G. & Vasander, H. 1992 Ecology of testaceans (Protozoa, Rhizopoda) in mires in southern finland. I. Autecology. *Arch. Protistenk.* 142, 119–138.
- 82 Mitchell, E. A. D., Charman, D. J. & Warner, B. G. 2008 Testate amoebae analysis in ecological and paleoe-cological studies of wetlands: past, present and future. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 17, 2115–2137. (doi:10.1007/s10531-007-9221-3)
- 83 Wylezich, C., Meisterfeld, R., Meisterfeld, S. & Schlegel, M. 2002 Phylogenetic analyses of small subunit ribosomal RNA coding regions reveal a monophyletic lineage of euglyphid testate amoebae (Order Euglyphida). *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 49, 108–118. (doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.2002.tb00352.x)
- 84 Lara, E., Heger, T. J., Mitchell, E. A. D., Meisterfeld, R. & Ekelund, F. 2007 SSU rRNA reveals a sequential increase in shell complexity among the euglyphid testate amoebae (Rhizaria: Euglyphida). *Protist* 158, 229–237. (doi:10.1016/j.protis.2006.11.006)
- 85 Heger, T. J., Mitchell, E. A. D., Todorov, M., Golemansky, V., Lara, E., Leander, B. S. & Pawlowski, J. 2010 Molecular phylogeny of euglyphid testate amoebae (Cercozoa: Euglyphida) suggests transitions between marine supralittoral and freshwater/terrestrial environments are infrequent. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 55, 113–122. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.11.023)
- 86 Reukauf, E. 1912 Zur encystierung von Euglypha alveolata. Zoolog. Anzeiger 39, 372–375.
- 87 Penard, E. 1902 Faune rhizopodique du bassin du léman. Geneva, Switzerland: Henry Kündig.
- 88 Awerintzew, S. 1906 Die süsswasser Rhizopoden. I and II. *Trudui S.-Peter. Oscch* **36**, 351.
- 89 Blochmann, F. 1887 Zur kenntniss der fortpflanzung von Euglypha alveolata Dujardin. Morphologische Jahrbuch 13, 173–183.
- 90 Cash, J., Wailes, G. H. & Hopkinson, J. 1915 The British freshwater Rhizopoda and Heliozoa: Rhizopoda part 3. London, UK: The Ray Society.
- 91 Chardez, D. 1965 On the particular mode and little understood reproduction in aquatic thecamoebae (Rhizopoda; Testacea.). *Bull. Inst. Agron. Sta. Rech. Gembloux* **33**, 26–34.
- 92 Valkanov, A. 1962 Euglyphella delicatula n. g., n. sp. (Rhizopoda Testacea) und ihre kopulation. Dokl. Bolgarkoi Akad. Nauk 15, 207–209.
- 93 Schonborn, W. & Peschke, T. 1990 Evolutionary studies
 1151
 on the Assulina-Valkanovia complex (Rhizopoda, 1152

10 D. J. G. Lahr et al. Review. The chastity of amoebae

Testaceafilosia) in sphagnum and soil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 9, 95-100. (doi:10.1007/BF00335790)

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1179

- 94 Valkanov, A. 1962 Über die kopulation der testaceen (Rhizopoda Testacea). *Dokl. Bolgarskoi Akad. Nauk* 15, 305–308.
- 95 Iudina, T. A. & Sukhanova, K. M. 2000 The testate amoeba *Corythion delamarei*: cell biology and life cycle. *Tsitologiya* **42**, 613–623.
- 96 Sukhanova, I. N. & Cheban, E. A. 1990 Heterotrophic phytoplankton of the Black-Sea in the early spring period of the phytocen development. *Okeanologiya* 30, 979–986.
- 1164
 97 Cavalier-Smith, T. & Chao, E. E. Y. 2003 Phylogeny

 1165
 and classification of phylum Cercozoa (protozoa). *Protist*

 1166
 154, 341–358. (doi:10.1078/143446103322454112)
 - 98 Archibald, J. M. 2009 The puzzle of plastid evolution. *Curr. Biol. CB* **19**, R81–R88. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008. 11.067)
 - 99 Grell, K. G. 1990 Indications of sexual reproduction in the plasmodial protist *Chlorarachnion reptans* Geitler. *Zeitsch. Naturforsch. C J. Biosci.* 45, 112–114.
- 1172 100 Beutlich, A. & Schnetter, R. 1993 The life-cycle of 1173 *Cryptochlora perforans* (Chlorarachniophyta). *Bot. Acta* 1174 106, 441–447.
- 101 Braselton, J. P. 2002 Phylum Plasmodiophora (Plasmodiophoromycota). In *The illustrated guide to the Protozoa* (eds J. J. Lee, G. F. Leedale & P. C. Bradbury), 2nd edn. Lawrence, KS: Society of Protozoologists.
 - 102 Raikov, I. B. 1982 *The protozoan nucleus: morphology and evolution.* Wien, Austria: Springer-Verlag.
- 1180
 103 Grell, K. G. & Ruthmann, A. 1964 Uber die karyologie des radiolars *Aulacantha scolymantha* und die feinstruktur seiner chromosomen. *Chromosoma* 15, 185–211 (doi:10.1007/BF00285729)
- 104 Febvre, C., Febvre, J. & Michaels, A. F. 2002 Class
 Acantharea. In *The illustrated guide to the Protozoa* (eds
 J. J. Lee, G. F. Leedale & P. C. Bradbury), pp. 1055– 1084. Lawrence, KS: Society of Protozoologists.
- 105 Anderson, O. R., Nigrini, C., Boltovskoy, D., Takahashi, K. & Swanberg, N. R. 2002 Class Polycystina. In *The illustrated guide to the protozoa* (eds J. J. Lee, G. F. Leedale & P. C. Bradbury), pp. 994–1022, 2nd edn. Lawrence, KS: Society of Protozoologists.
- 106 Woodcock, H. M. & Lapage, G. 1915 Observations on the life-cycle of a new flagellate, *Helkesimastix faecicola*, n. g., n. sp.: together with remarks on the question of syngamy in the trypanosomes. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*88, 353–370. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1915.0001)
- 1197
 107 Woodcock, H. M. 1916 Observations on coprozoic flagellates. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 207, 375–412. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1916.0008)
- 108 Shirkina, N. I. 1987 Biological features in some flagellates of the genus *Cercomonas* (Rhizomastigida, Cercomonadidae). *Zoolog. Z.* 66, 485–489.
- 109 Karpov, S. A. 1997 Cercomonads and their relationship
 109 to the myxomycetes. *Arch. Protistenkunde* 148, 297–307.
- 110 Hulsmann, N. 1993 Lateromyxa gallica n. g. n. sp.
 (Vampyrellidae)—a filopodial ameboid protist with a
 novel life-cycle and conspicuous ultrastructural characters. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 40, 141–149. (doi:10.
 1111/j.1550-7408.1993.tb04894.x)
- 1209
 111 Ropstorf, P., Hulsmann, N. & Hausmann, K. 1993
 1210
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 1211
 121
- 112 Nikolaev, S. I., Berney, C., Fahrni, J. F., Bolivar, I., Polet,
 S., Mylnikov, A. P., Aleshin, V. V., Petrov, N. B. &
 Pawlowski, J. 2004 The twilight of Heliozoa and rise of

Rhizaria, an emerging supergroup of amoeboid eukaryotes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 8066–8071. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0308602101)

- 113 Mikrjukov, K. A. & Patterson, D. J. 2001 Taxonomy and phylogeny of Heliozoa. III. Actinophryids. *Acta Protozoolog.* **40**, 3–25.
- Simpson, A. G. B. 2003 Cytoskeletal organization, phylogenetic affinities and systematics in the contentious taxon Excavata (Eukaryota). *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 53, 1759–1777. (doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02578-0)
- 115 Droop, M. R. 1961 Evidence for a sexual phase in lifecycle of an amoeba. *Nature* 192, 281. (doi:10.1038/ 192281a0)
- 116 Fritz-Laylin, L. K. et al. 2010 The genome of Naegleria gruberi illuminates early eukaryotic versatility. Cell 140, 631–642. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.032)
- 117 Perkins, F. O. & Amon, J. P. 1969 Zoosporulation in Labyrinthula sp.—an electron microscope study (Article). J. Protozoolog. 16, 235–257.
- 118 Moens, P. B. & Perkins, F. O. 1969 Chromosome number of a small protist — accurate determination (Article). *Science* **166**, 1289–1291.
- 119 Sumbali, G. 2005 *The fungi* (ed. B. M. Johri). Middlesex, UK: Alpha Science International.
- 120 Hamilton, W. D. 2001 Narrow roads of gene land, vol. 2: The evolution of sex. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- 121 Felsenstein, J. 1974 The evolutionary advantage of recombination. *Genetics* 78, 737–756.
- 122 Porter, S. M. & Knoll, A. H. 2000 Testate amoebae in the neoproterozoic era: evidence from vase-shaped microfossils in the Chuar group, Grand Canyon. *Paleobiology* 26, 360–385. (doi:10.1666/0094-8373(2000) 026<0360:TAITNE>2.0.CO;2)
- 123 Sen Gupta, B. K. 1999 Introduction to modern foraminifera. In *Modern foraminfera* (ed. B. K. Sen Gupta), pp. 1–6. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- 124 Gladyshev, E. A. & Arkhipova, I. R. 2010 Genome structure of bdelloid rotifers: shaped by asexuality or desiccation? *J. Hered.* 101(Suppl. 1), S85–S93. (doi:10.1093/jhered/esq008)
- 125 Lohia, A. 2003 The cell cycle of *Entamoeba histolytica*. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 253, 217–222. (doi:10.1023/ A:1026055631421)
- 126 Török, J. K., Pollák, B., Heéger, Z., Csikós, G. & Márialigeti, K. 2008 First evidence of bacterial endocytobionts in the lobose testate amoeba *Arcella* (Amoebozoa, Arcellinida). *Protistology* 5, 303–312.
- 127 Corsaro, D. & Venditti, D. 2009 Detection of chlamydiae from freshwater environments by PCR, amoeba coculture and mixed coculture. *Res. Microbiol.* 160, 547–552. (doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2009.08.001)
- 128 Jeon, K. W. 2004 Genetic and physiological interactions in the amoeba-bacteria symbiosis. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 51, 502-508. (doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.2004. tb00277.x)
- 129 Greub, G. & Raoult, D. 2004 Microorganisms resistant to free-living amoebae. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 17, 413–433. (doi:10.1128/CMR.17.2.413-433.2004)
- Boyer, M. et al. 2009 Giant marseillevirus highlights the role of amoebae as a melting pot in emergence of chimeric microorganisms. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 21848–21853. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0911354106)
- 131 Moreira, D. & Brochier-Armanet, C. 2008 Giant viruses, giant chimeras: the multiple evolutionary histories of mimivirus genes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8, 12. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-8-12)

Proc. R. Soc. B (2011)

1216